P 35E

Preserve

Preservation

App. F. IX-4

Previous References

VP62-28881-2

192 9. (V-P.

File No. 37-29

Serial Nos. 1610

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 10

Part B for March 30

SUBJECT

Application of Section 33 of the V.
P. Regulation in the Districts

Papers ordered to be Recorded

AB
1/4/30

255 1

L 1069
D 2-9-29

Office of the Dewan of Mysore,

NOTE.

Dated 30th August 1929

H.P. 37-29-1.



I attach cutting of a leading article in the "Mail" in which reference is made to certain disadvantages to planters arising from the working of Section 52 of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation. Perhaps the matter requires consideration in the light of the difficulties brought to notice.

DEWAN.

Second Member of Council,
with compliments.



2 I have forwarded another file on the same subject - a couple years ago. This may also be put up with action taken.

CSJ
31/8

3) Urgent

4)

Mr. S.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

OFFICE OF THE
SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
30 AUG. 1929
No. 1967

GEN. & REV. SECTT
L1086
3 SEPT. 1929
L. & G. BRANCH

Madras Mail 29.8.29

Sab^d by
Rg
29/8/29

Pr. Income

1) Genl Secy to note.

2) Rev & Dev may be asked as to whether this section has been used much, if at all, & if there have been cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused.

3) Is there corresponding provision in other V.P. acts in Madras or in the Bom^y & Mysore Regns.

CSR
30/8

Legy

Genl Sy Cttee 4) RG 31/8/29

Comb^s

5) L.S.S. Early Bx

2/9 MS

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Serial No.

11 The criticism in the leading article refers to Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation wherein provision has been made for powers of entry into or upon any building or land by the mebers of Panchayets or officers or servants of Panchayets with or without assistants or workmen in order to make any inspection or execute any work for the purposes of the Regulation. The Section provides also for the convenience of the occupants of the land or building to be inspected by enacting that.-

(1) the entry shall not be made between sunset and sunrise,

(2) due regard should be had to the social and religious usages of the occupants, and

(3) dwelling houses should not be entered without giving reasonable notice in writing signed by the Chairman or a person duly authorised in this behalf, unless the occupant consents to the entry.

12 An objection to this section, among others, was raised by the Secretary, Mysore Planters' Association in January 1929 and he was informed that provision similar to Section 33 is in force in Municipal as well as in rural areas not only in the Mysore State but in British India also and that nowhere has it been attend with such undesirable consequences as was apprehended by the Association. It was also pointed out to the Association that the right of entry is so restricted, both as to the purposes and mode of exercise, as to exclude the possibility of needless harassment (Vide Sl.2 in V.P. 62-28).

13 Provisions similar to Section 33 of the Mysore

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—29-4-29

Serial No.

Village Panchayet Regulation exist in

1. Section 335 of the Madras District Municipalities Act.
2. Section 217 of the Madras Local Boards Act.
3. Section 159 of the Bombay District Municipalities Act.
4. Section 24 of the Madras Panchayet Act.

14 Section 132 of the Municipal Regulation contains a provision empowering the President or any person authorised by the President etc. between sunrise and sunset and on giving a notice as prescribed to enter and to inspect any building or land and to require that it -- shall be ~~cleaned~~ cleansed for sanitary reasons. The Bombay District boards Act contains only a provision similar to this Section but not one similar to Section 159. of the ~~Municipal~~ Act.

15 The Mysore ~~District~~ Boards' Regulation does not ~~also~~ contain a provision similar to Section 33 of the -- Village Panchayet Regulation, ~~but~~ under Section 57 (sub-section (1) clause (b) (ii)) of the Regulation, the District Boards may make bye-laws for conferring on the President or any person authorised by the Board the power to enter and inspect any building or land and to require that it shall be kept in a sanitary -- condition.

16 Objection is taken in the leader of the Madras Mail to the expression "for any of the purposes of this Regn" in Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation and it is suggested that the Regulation should be amended:-

(1) so as to make it clear that there shall be no wanton intrusion on the privacy of the homes of respectable individuals, or

(2) so as to safeguard the privacy of enlightened individuals by affording a right of appeal [illegible] against [illegible]

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 01684 GPB—30,000—29-4-29

Serial No.

(the occupier to a higher authority against any inspection of or entry upon private premises, adequate notice of any such entry or inspection from the Panchayet to the occupier being compulsory.

(3) In any other manner that may appear suitable to satisfy the natural anxiety of respectable citizens

19. In this connection, kind attention is invited to the provisions contained in Sections 35 of the Benga Village Self Government Act and Section 118 D of the Bihar and Orissa Local Self Government Act where the powers of entry are restricted to the purposes of or in pursuance of certain sections only. The provisions in these Acts are insufficient as they do not authorise entry for purpose of assessing the proper amount of taxes and other necessary purposes. It is not possible to catalogue all the purposes for which powers of entry would be necessary and restrict the power only to such purposes.

The Section as it exists safeguards the interests of all the rural population and provides (1) for reasonable notice being given when a building has to be entered into and (2) for the ispection being made only for the purpose of the Regulation.

18 In these circumstances it appears unnecessary to restrict the scope of the Section and amend the Village Panchayet Regulation for this purposes.

19. A draft to the Deputy Commissioners and the Revenue Commissioner is submitted as directed in para 7.

11/7/29

Issue draft

13/9

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

Serial No.

2 Letter No. L 2083-90 G 16-9-29 to Dev'n Dist.
Invite Rep. to the article and request them to submit their Rev Cmr a very early report as to whether Section 33 of T. A. Regn has been used much, if at all in their Dist and whether there have been cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused

Sec A 9/9 1929

3 Memo No. L 2091 G 16-9-29 to the Rev Cmr.
"Requests that the reports received from Dy Comrs may be sent to Govt at a very early date".

Letter No. L 1006-29 G 20-9-29 to Dist Govt (Response Dec'd)
State that there are no such cases in this District.
(20) Mark for drawing up a copy of the above to the Rev Cmr is held for a/pt. as 11/10/29 of the letter from may soon sent to the Rev Cmr
(21)

4 Letter No. L 2559 G 8-10-29 to the Rev Cmr.
For a copy of the above.

21/10

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat

U.P File No. 37 15 & 16 1 1 1 0 9 of 1929

Serial No.

Letter No. C. 1176/29-30 D. 24/26. 6. 29 from the Rev Govt Madras.

Requesting that a copy of the article in the Madras Mail d/29. 8. 29 under the heading "Pandigais and Plumbers" may be sent for perusal and Communication to the Govt. Honourable Mr. Haig decided.

(2) Put-forwarding a copy as desired for approval

30/10/29

(3) Mr. Haig
30.10

Letter No. L 3291 & 7. 11. 29 from Rev Govt. Fs a copy of the article sent by

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
18 NOV. 1929
L. S. G. BRANCH

Letter Inspr. Ans - 806 - 29 dated 20.11.29 from the Superintendent of Police stating that there are no cases of objection or annoyance caused in the district.

Applications from the Agraharam Brahmins, Vaishyas, Uttamapalayam Chettiars, and Reddiars received.

(24) Submitted for information

25/11

(25) 26/11

(26) Letter Rx. 27/11.

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
L. S. G. BRANCH

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01634—GPB—30,000—29-1-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | V.P. File No. 27 of 1929.

Serial No.	
6	Letter No. A/10 C 7179-29-30 dated 27th Dec 1929 from the D.C. Dholera forwarding Copy of his letter to the Rev. Commr. Stating that instances in which section 33 of the V.P. Regn have not so far been reported in the Dist
7	Letter No. V.P. 3966 d 21.12.30 / 3.1.30 from the D.C. Ahmedabad. Invites refce to his letter of the 26th Sep 29 stating that Section 33 of the V.P. Regn has been hardly used in the Dist
8.	Letter No. C2 d 2882/29-30 dated 10 Jan 30 from the D.C. Broach forwarding Copy of his letter dated 26.6.29 submitted thereby the R.C. stating that no Cases under Section 33 of the V.P. Regn have been dealt with in the Dist. (27) Submitted for perusal. Draft reminder to the R.C. is also submitted for approval HS 15.1.30. (28) 17/1 Issued No. L 5738 d 21/1/30.
9.	Letter No. C 1176/29-30 dated 18/21.1.1930 from the Revenue Commr. forwarding reports recd from the Deputy Commrs of districts except Surat & Broach and stating that Section 33 has been

Note—Contn. Sheet

3480 } 9
4270

General and Revenue Secretariat

App. F. III—2]

P/D File No. 37 of 1929.

Serial No.	
	handly used in the districts (29) Nigh under which the reports of the D.C.s of Cuddapah & Nellore districts are awaited. Subject for perusal. The RS NS 23/1/30. has been reminded on 21.1.30 to expedite the receipt of their reports also. 25/1/30 Ref. Paras 6, 7 & 8. 2 Memos
10	Letter No. C 1176/29-30 dated 20th Feb 1930 from the Revenue Commr in Mysore, Forwards reports of the Deputy Commrs of Nellore & Cuddapah stating that there was no instance in which Section 33 of the Regn was used in these districts. Submitted with reference to paras 6, 7 & 8 of the notes. The Deputy Commrs of all the districts report that Section 33 of the V. P. Regulation has been hardly used, if used at all, in their districts and no objections have been raised or annoyance caused. Para 8 is noted upon in paras 11 & 18. NS 28/2/30. 28/2/30
	The difficulties of the planters which have been ventilated in the Madras Mail, are purely imaginary

Memorandum for the Press Secretary

27/1

OK. 1 MAR 1930

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—30,000—26-7-29

47

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat — U.P. File No. 37 of 1929.

Serial No.

~~If the emphasis is rejected~~
And they must by now have realised this. But in case public expression is given again to their misgivings, a press communique may be issued explaining the matter as in paragraphs 11 to 18 of these notes.

Secy Chamber
Dewan.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
22. MAR. 1930
No. 6894/3

6894/3

21/3

23-3-

Mean note for further guidance in conducting of the Chief Secy.

24/3

Put U.S. for note.

24/3

Noted. Dr. Chapin's may be recorded in "B"

24/3

24/3

24/3

GEN. & REV. SECT. 24.MAR.30 U.S.

Note—Contn. Sheet

WD 066—GPB—10,000—26-7-29

Serial No.

~~If the application is rejected~~
And they must by now have realised this. But in case public expression is given again to their enquiries, a press communique may be issued, explaining the matter as in paragraphs 11 & 18 of these notes.

6694
3/3

DEWAN'S OFFICE
22. MAR. 1930
No. L6043.

Second Clerk
Dewan

3/3
21/3
23-3-30
24/3
24/3

Mean note for future guidance in connection with the Chief Secy.
24/3

Mr H.S for note.
24/3

Noted. Drafts "A" may be recorded in "B"
24/3
24/3

GEN. FILE
24 MAR 1930
L. S. G.

Note—Contn. Sheet

No 9/29

Dd 2083-90

V.P.37-29-2 Dt 16-9-29.

To

The Deputy Commissioners of District.

S i r,

I am directed to invite your attention to the ~~Leader~~ leading article in the Madras Mail dated, the 29th August 1929 under the heading "Panchayets and Planters" and to request you to be so good as to submit through the Revenue Commissioner a very early report as to whether Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used ~~much~~ much if at all in your District and whether there have been cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused.

I have etc.,

Gl. Secry to Govt.

To

Memo.No.L. 2091 Dated, 16th Sep: 1929.

Copy to the Revenue Commr. in Mysore with the request that the reports received from the Deputy Commrs. may be submitted to Govt. at a very early date.

By Order,

Gl. Secry to Govt.

A.M.R.A.
10/9.

THE MADRAS MAIL
THURSDAY, AUGUST 29, 1929.

PANCHAYATS AND PLANTERS

A correspondent, who represents the views of many planters in Mysore, has called our attention to a clause in the Mysore Village Panchayat Regulation which empowers any member, officer, or servant of a Panchayat to enter into or upon any building or land, with or without assistants or workmen, in order to make any inspection or execute any work for any of the purposes of this Regulation. Our correspondent asks whether this power should not be strictly limited, and the dwellings of respectable citizens exempted. There are three provisos governing the use of the power, but neither limits the Panchayat's right to enter. The only protection afforded an owner or occupant by the Regulation is that contained in Section 52 which gives an Amildar authority, under certain limited conditions, to suspend the execution of an order of the Panchayat. Since, however, this authority can only be employed when the Amildar is of opinion that the execution of the Panchayat's order is likely to cause injury or annoyance to the public or to any class or body of persons, or to lead to a breach of the peace, the protection cannot be considered adequate. It imposes upon the householder or the occupier of any premises the necessity of making public cause out of a private grievance. We have no doubt that the householder would, especially in the case of European planters, be able to show that such intrusion by Panchayat authorities would cause annoyance, if not injury, to his class, but it cannot be the intention of the Mysore Government to compel its citizen to arouse class or communal feeling in order to secure the elementary privilege of the privacy of their dwelling places.

Clearly the clauses as it stands permits of intrusion on any pretence; it is not necessary, apparently, that the reason should be fully stated. The Panchayat has, but to express its intention of inspecting a dwelling and it has, seemingly, the right to inspect it. The restricting phrase "for any of the purposes of this Regulation" is of little value. The purposes of the Regulation are so wide and comprehensive as to impose no useful limitation. It is desirable, therefore, that the Clause should be so amended as to make it clear that there shall be no wanton intrusion on the privacy of the homes of respectable individuals, those may be trusted in their own interests, as well as those of the community, to carry out any such measures as the Panchayat, as the civic authority, may deem necessary in the interests of the community. This is not a question of race. There are Indian and Europeans in Panchayat areas of Mysore whose interests are far more important than those of the average member of a Panchayat, whose civic sense is certainly not less. It is admittedly difficult to differentiate between individuals in the framing of laws, but many laws have their lists of exemptions and sufficient ground has been shown for including one in this. If objection be raised to the exclusion of any class from the operation of Clause 33, it should be possible to safeguard the privacy of enlightened individuals by affording the right of appeal by the occupier to a higher authority against any inspection of or entry upon private premises, adequate notice of any such entry or inspection from the Panchayat to the occupier being compulsory. Other method of satisfying the natural anxiety of respectable citizens will occur to the legal draughtsmen of the Mysore Government. Our purpose, like that of our correspondent, is to call attention to the natural resentment felt by all educated citizens against the conferment on a civic body of the unrestricted right of entry into private dwellings.

THE BANGALORE HOUNDS

A GOOD GALLOP

(From our own Correspondent)

BANGALORE, Aug. 25.

Sunday 25th 7th mile stone Bannirghatta road 6-30 A.M. Puttenhalli was drawn first as we did not wish to risk finding a cub in the Hulimau cane and so waste a lot of time in covert. Unfortunately the tope was blank soon after Hounds had a line in the open and crossed the road at the 8th mile stone, where it fizzled out. The cane beyond was drawn blank and they did not find till late in the day in the cane near Hulluhalli. Here there was a brace, but hounds after a few hundred yards, flashed over the line and could not recover it. Finding again in the cane at Mailsandra they got away on good terms with an old dog jack, and ran at a tremendous pace through the casurina, then over the top of point 3092 and on down to the cane at Raddarpalya (.3096). They were not long in getting him out of this and killed him a little further on in some lantana. This was quite the fastest run we have had this season and over the best of the country. On the way home they found again in the cane at Hulimau in quite heavy rain. They got him away over the bund and ran very prettily, but slowly, back to Mailsandra where they were run out of scent. On the way back they got on the line of a jack in the cane at Hulimau and ran down to Arkeri before they could be stopped. It was now 12.30 but still nice and cool, and it seems as though the weather is at last going to be kind. It was a pity hounds did not find earlier when scent would probably have been better.

Among those out were: Mrs. Buckley, Miss Plummer, Miss Acheson, Mrs. Page, Mrs. Bittleston, the Misses Boyd, Mrs. Nance, Rajkumar Desraj Urs, Colonel Plummer, Colonel Heywood, General Tarver, Mrs. Brounlie, Mrs. Cleeve, Mr. Congreve, Mr. Greig M. F. H., Mr. Alexander, Mr. Key Stone, Mr. Hills, Mr. A. Y. G. Campbell, Mr. Forster, Mr. Maurice, Mr. Sprott M.F.H. (Coorg), Mr. Clement-Davies, Major Wingfield-Stratford, Major Molony, Mr. Boulden, Mr. Tarver, Mr. Lovell, Mr. Macklin, Mr. Page, Major Krishne Urs, Mr. C. H. Tarver, Mr. Levett, Mr. Brown, Mr. Thunder, Mr. Winship, Mr. Wilson, Mr. and Mrs. Caley, Mr. Walker, Mr. Linehan, Major Bittleston, Mr. Oliver, Mr. Dewett, Mr. Bushell, Mr Kilbane and Mrs. Franks.

FOR INDIAN ARTISTS

In November last the Government of India accepted the proposal of the High Commissioner for India for the award of four State Scholarships valued at £290 a year, tenable for eighteen months, to allow Indian artists to obtain further training in Europe with a view to their being subsequently employed on the decorations of India House, the fine new headquarters of India's representative in London. To-day we publish the names of the three successful men. They are all from North India, a fact which is eloquent testimony to the greater interest in art taken by the people of the North. The South is indifferent to pictorial art. It glories in the mural paintings which have made the name Ajanta familiar throughout the world, but the pride of the many is based on the sense of possession rather than that of appreciation. Indeed, few would have been seriously concerned had H. E. H. THE NIZAM really been as neglectful of the paintings as ignorant critics have alleged. We have to thank His Exalted Highness for the elaborate measures he has taken to preserve these national treasures, but if

A TIMELY RESOLUTION

If the Excise Licensing Board desires to secure the goodwill of the citizens of Madras it will accept and enforce the resolution passed by the City Council on Tuesday evening asking that no building should be licensed for the sale of toddy unless it has ample accommodation for its customers. The scenes that disgust passers-by on many Madras streets, such as Pursawaukam High Road and Taylor's Road, would not be possible if the Licensing authorities required toddy shopkeepers to provide adequate accommodation for their customers and allowed no consumer to consume his purchase in public in the vicinity of the tavern. This wholesome rule is applied in other lands and there seems no real reason why it should not be adopted here. Another question needing to be considered is that of the location of taverns. The placing of these at the corner of important roads, as at Taylor's Road, or near schools, as in Pursawaukam, is indefensible on all grounds. They hamper traffic and provide spectacles against which the young should be protected.

No. L.1006-29.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Dated Mysore,...30th.Sept....29.....192

V.P. 37-29-3 & REV. SECTT.
GEN. 1526
23 SEPT. 1929

FROM

T.G.Rama Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
~~K.V.ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,~~
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

B.Narayanaswamy Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General Department. *Bangalore.*

SIR,

Panchayet and Planters under Sec.33 of the Village Panch yet Regulation.

With reference to your letter No.L.2083-90/V.P.37-29-2 dated 16th.instant inviting attention to the leading article in the Madras Mail dated 29th.August 1929, under the heading 'Panchayets and Planters' and calling for a report as to whether Sec. 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used much and whether there have been cases in which annoyance was caused, I have the honour to state that there were no such cases in this District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.
absent from station.

Forwarded with Compliments to the Revenue Comr in Mysore with reference to his office memo No. L 1209-1/V.P.37-29-23 16-9-29.

By order

for Secl Secretary

28/9/29

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section V. P

File No. 37-29

Serial No. 4

No. L 2859 ave

Diary No. of letter replied to 1526L

General and Revenue Secretariat

(L. S. 9)

the *192*

To

The Revl Comr in Mysore

Date of issue 8/10/29

Sir,

Signed by W. D. K. S. Ayer

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. L/1086 of the 20th Sept 1929 from the Dy Comr, Mysore & Deputy regarding the working of Section 33 of the V. P. Regn in his district.

I have &c

With draft to this Office Memo No. L 2091/V.P. 37-29-29 16-9-29

W. D. K. S. Ayer

for Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by Grw with enclosure 5/10/29

EC 1/10/29

Examined by.....

over

WD063—GPB—20,000—1-8-29

No. C.1176/29-30.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 24th October *192*9.

Leg H.C.
Rec.
26/10/29

FROM RAJAKARYA PRAVINA
P. G. D'SOUZA, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECT.
20/3
28 OCT. 1929
L. S.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your Memo No.L.2091 dated the 16th September 1929 forwarding a copy of the letter addressed to the Deputy Commissioners of Districts in respect of the article in the Madras Mail dated the 29th August 1929 under the heading "Panchayets and Planters", I have the honour to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, has applied for a copy of the article in question for reference and to request you to be so good as to forward to this office a copy of the same for reference and communication to the Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Yuvarajaiya Ray

Assistant Commissioner,
For Revenue Commissioner.
Absent from the station.

BR.

A. R. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section V. 9

File No. 37-29

Serial No.

No. L3291/cue

Diary No. of letter replied to 20182

General and Revenue Secretariat

(K.S.S.)

the *192*

To The Rev. Comr. of Mayoorm

Date of issue 7/11/29

Signed by M.K.P.Sy.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a Copy of the article in the Mayoorm Mail of the 29th August 1929 under the heading "Panchayats and Planters" as requested in your letter No. C 1176/29-30 G dtd 24th/26th Oct-1929.

I have the

honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient

servant

for Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—GPB—80,000—26-5-27

D.C. 3.J

672

No. A.2-806-29.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

ENC.

Dated, Chikmagalur, 20th November 1929.

K.P. 37-29-5

FROM

H. V. Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

REV. SECTT. GEN. 2443 23 NOV. 1929

To

RAJAKARYAPRAVINA,
P. G. D' Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. C. 1176/29-30 dated 17th October 1929 and subsequent reminder dated 18th instant thereto, requesting to report as to whether section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used much, if at all, in this District and whether there have been ~~xxx~~ cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused, I have the honor to state that it is seen from the reports received from the Amildars of the several Taluks and the Deputy Amildar, Narasimharajapura Sub Taluk, that this Section has not been used at all, and that therefore, no cases of objection or annoyance cropped up in this District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SD/- H. V. Ramasvami.

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

====

M E M O.

Copy of the above is

P.T.O.

Lsg

is forwarded, with compliments, to the Secretary to Government of Mysore, General Departments, Bangalore, with reference to his letter No. L.2053-90/V.P.37-29-2 dated 16-9-29, for information.

H C Ramaswamy

Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

Submitted for information since the Completed file. A consolidated report is due from the Revenue which will if approved be enquired.

25/11/29

In all future correspondence please quote this
No. A.10.C. 1179/29-30.
Encls. One only.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated, Kolar, the... 27th December *...19* 29.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 3482 2 JAN. 1930 L. S. G. BRANCH.

FROM

A. V. RAMANATHAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To N. MADHAVA RAO, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Chief SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
Department,
Bangalore.

VP 37-29-6

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. L. 4742-7/V.P. 37-29 dated the 23rd December 1929 calling for report through the Revenue Commissioner as to whether Section 33 of the Village Panchayat Regulation has been used much etc., I have the honour to state that a report was submitted to the Revenue Commissioner in this Office No. L.Dis. A.10.C. 1179/29-30 dated 7-10-1929 in reply to your letter No. L. 2083-90/V.P. 37-29-2 dated 16-9-1929 and a copy of my report was again sent to him on 13-11-1929. However copy of my letter No. L.Dis. A.10.C. 1179/29-30 dated 7-10-1929 on this subject is sent herewith enclosed for ready reference.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient Servant,

Treasury Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SRS.27/12.

Letter No. L.Dis. A.10.C. 1179/29-30 dated the 7th October 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

==========

With reference to the General Secretary's letter No. L. 2083-90 V.P. 37-29-2ϕ dated 16-9-1929 calling for an early report as to whether Sec. 33 of the Village Panchayat Regulation has been used in this District and etc., I have the honour to state that such instances have not so far been reported in this District.

" C O P Y "

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

SRS.

Tel Address:—Depcom,
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. V.P. 3966/29-30
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated, Chitaldrug,... 31st December.....192 9
3rd Jan 1930.

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

REV. S. & C.T. 3572
7 JAN 1930
L. S. G. BRANCH.

CHIEF SECRETARY
4 JAN. 1930

To

N. Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

VP 27-29-7

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. L. 4742-7 V.P. 27 29 dated 23rd December 1929, calling for a report as to whether section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used much in this District and whether there have been cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused, I have the honour to invite kind reference to this office No. V.P. 3087/29-30 dated 26th September 1929 wherein I have stated that the power vested under Section 33 is understood to have been hardly if ever used in this District and that no cases of objection or annoyance have come to notice.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

IN ALL FUTURE COMMUNICATIONS PLEASE QUOTE —
No. C-2-L-2882/29-30
Enc. (one)

TEL. ADDRESS-" DEPCOM."
POST BOX NO. 34.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 10th Jany. *1930*.

REV. SEC. 3638
GEN. 13 JAN. 1930
L. S. G. D.

V P 37-29-8

FROM B.Narayanaswami Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
~~A.K. Syed Taj Peeran, Esq., B.A.,~~
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To
N.Madhava Rao, Esq., B.A.; B.L.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

SUBJECT:—

With reference to your letter No.L.4742-7/V.P.37-29 dated the 23rd ultimo, re the submission of report as to whether section 33 of the Village Panchayat Regulation has been used much, I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of the report that was submitted to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,Bangalore, with this office No.C-2(a)-L-1815/29-30 dated 26th October 1929 for favour of kind information.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 11 JAN 1930

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
(absent from station).

L.S.R.

Letter No.C-2(a)L.1815/29-30 dated 26th October 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, to the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your Docket No.C.1176 of 29-30 dated the 17th October 1929 calling for a report as to whether the section 33 of the Village Panchayat Regulation has been used much in this District, I have the honor to state that no such cases of the kind have been dealt in this District.

"Copy"

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.

No. C.1176/29-30.
Seven letters in original

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 18th January 1930

21

FROM Rajakaryapravina
P. G. D'SCUZA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 3780 27 JAN. 1930

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

CHIEF SECRETARY 21 JAN. 1930

V. P 37-29-9.

SIR,

Referring to your memo No. M.2091 dated the 16th September 1929 regarding the matter of an article in Madras Mail dated 29th August 1929 under the heading "Panchayets and Planters", I have the honour to forward herewith, in original, letters as per margin received from the Deputy Commissioners of Districts except Tumkur and Hassan. It will be seen from a perusal of these letters that Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation appears to have been very rarely used, if used at all, and at any rate there have not been cases in which objections are reported to have been taken or annoyance caused.

Letter No.	C2.a.1815/29-30	dated	26-10-'29	from	Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore.
"	L.Dis.A.10C.1179/29-30.	"	5-10-'29	"	" Kolar
"	L.1006/29	"	2-12-'29	"	" Mysore
"	S1.C.572/29-30	"	23-10-'29) 29-12-'29)	"	" Shimoga
"	A2.306-29	"	20-11-'29	"	" Kadur
"	V.P.G1.3087/29-30	"	20- 9-'29	"	" Chitaldrug

The reports of the Deputy Commissioners of Tumkur and Hassan will be forwarded on their receipt.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

In all future communications please quote—
No. C. 2. (a.) 1815/29-30
Enc.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Dated, Bangalore, the 26th October *1929*.

6829

FROM

A. K. SYED TAJ PEERAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

Rajakaryapravina
P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore .

C 1176 / 29-30 8429

SIR,

Subject :—

With reference to your docket No. C.1176 of 29-30 dated 17th October 1929 calling for a report as to whether the Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used much in this District, I have the honor to state that no such cases of the kind have been dealt with in this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

EV

In all future correspondence please quote
No. ~~L. Dis. A10-C.~~ 1179/29-30.
Encls.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT.

Dated, Kolar, the 5th October *1929*

FROM

A.V.Ramanathan, Esq.,B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

6 :28



To

P.G. Souza, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

B&S

Sir,

Madras Mail - leading Articles - "Panchayets and Planters"

With reference to the General Secretary's letter No.L. 2083-90/V.P.37-29-28 dated 16-9-1929 calling for an early report as to whether Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used in this District and etc., I have the honour to state that such instances have not so far been reported in this - District.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

A.R.
5/10.

No.L.1006/29

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District,

Dated Mysore, 30.th.November 29.

nkg
C 926 C1176/29-30
2-12

FROM: Ramantharaman, Esq., B.A.,
THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. No. DEC/Banjara

To
Rajakarya Pravina
P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your memo No.C.1176/29-30 dated the 17th.October 1929 calling for a report re: the use of Sec.33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation, I have the honour to state that no cases have been reported in this District of the Panchayets having used Section 33 or objection taken or annoyance caused.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

A. Ramabhargavan

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

MGS

No. S.1.C.572/29-30

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

DATED, SHIMOGA, 23rd October 19 29.

From

M. N. BALA RAJ URS, ESQR., B. A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

C 1176
29-30
BKS
67 ?

To

Rajakaryapravina P.G.D'souza,Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.C.1176/29-30 dated 17th instant calling for a report as to whether section 33 of the Village Panchaye Regulation has been used much if at all in this District and whether there have been cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused, I have the honour to state that the Sub-Division Officer, Sagar reports that no instance of the use of Sec.33 of V.P.Regulation by any Village Panchayet in his jurisdiction has come to his notice so far nor has any objection or complaint been received in this respect.

The reports called for from the Sub-Division Officer,Tirthahall and the Treasury Assistant Commissioner,Shimoga, have not been receive yet. They have been requested to submit their reports immediately on receipt of which a further communication will be made to you in the matter,containing my opinion also.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Bala Raj Urs

Offg.Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

SKN

Urgent.
No. S. 572-29

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Dated, Shimoga 2yth December *19* 29

FROM

M. N. BALA RAJ URS, ESQR., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

C. 1176
29-30.

To

Rajakarya Pravina,
P.G.D'Souza Esquire, B.A...B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
BANGALORE.

1085

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No. S. 572-29 dated the 23rd October 1929 regarding any hardship caused to the public by enforcing the provisionsof sections 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation commented upon in a leader of the Madras mail, I have the honour to state that no complaints have been received of any annoyance caused to the public . But I think it desirable to insert a provision to the effect that whenever the owner does not consent to the entry, the Panchayet chairman should obtain a written order from an Ex-Officio Magistrate for effecting the entry.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
B. Siddaraj Urs
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

G.R.N.

D.C. 3.]

No. A2-305-29.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

ENC. 853. C1176/29-30 BKS

Dated, Chikmagalur 20th November 1929.



From Ramasvami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To
RAJAKARYAPRAVINA
P.G.D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.C.1176/29-30 dated 17th October 1929 and subsequent reminder dated 13th instant thereto, requesting to report as to whether section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used much, if at all, in this District and whether there have been cases in which objection was taken or annoyance caused, I have the honor to state that it is seen from the reports received from the Amildars of the several taluks and the Deputy Amildar, Narasimharajpura Sub Taluk, that this Section has not been used at all and that therefore, no cases of objection or annoyance have cropped up in this District.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Tel. Address:—Depcom.
CHITALDRUG.

In reply, please refer to
No. V.P.G.R. 5087/29-30.
Enclosures.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

Dated, Chitaldrug, 26th September 1929.

XXC1176/29-30

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. No. SEP 28 1929

FROM

C. S. KUPPUSWAMIENGAR, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

57-12

To

A.K.Syed Taj Peeran Esq B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No.L.2083-96/V.P. 37-29-2 dated 16th instant calling for a report on the leading article in the Madras Mail dated 29th August 1929 under the heading "PANCHAYAT AND PLEADERS," I have the honor to state that the power vested under section 33 is understood to have been hardly, if ever, used in this District and that no cases of objection or annoyance have come to notice.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Sivaramaiah

For Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

No. C. 1176/29-30.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 20th February 1930.

FROM Rajakarya Pravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 4270 27 FEB. 1930 L. S. G. BRANCH.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

CHIEF SECRETARY. 25 FEB. 1930

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No.C.1176/29-30 dated 21st January 1930 re article in Madras Mail dated 29th August 1929 under the heading "Panchayets and Planters" I have the honour to forward herewith in original letters No.L.Dis.C.1103-29-30 dated 4th February 1930 and L.Dis.C.388-29 dated 7th February 1930, from the Deputy Commissioners of Tumkur and Hassan, respectively and to state that it will be seen from these reports that there was no instance in which Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation was used in these Districts also.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

BR.

No. Kon C - 1103 - 29 - 30 OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
TUMKUR DISTRICT.

Enclosures.

Dated, Tumkur, 4th February 1930

FROM

G. B. HEBLIKAR, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

Hon C-1176 (694) 29-30

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER FEB 6 1930 IN MYSORE.

To

Rajakarya-Pravina,
P.G.D'Souza, Esqr:, B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

33:0

With reference to your letter No. C. 1176/29-30 dated 17th October 1929 calling for a report as to whether Section 33 (power of entry) of the Village Panchayet Regulation has been used much in this District and whether there have been cases in which objection was taken to or annoyance caused to the people, I have the honor to state that no such cases have been brought to my notice and that no panchayets seems to have resorted to the use of Section 33 of the Village Panchayet Regulation.

I have the honor to be,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD.4/2

WD 546—GPB—50,000—4-9-23.

192

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Tel. Address:—Depcom. Hassan.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
HASSAN DISTRICT,

In reply please refer to
No. L.Dis C 888-29
Encl.

Dated, Hassan, the 7th February 1930.

13415

From

S. ABDUL WAJID, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.

HP 894/ C-1176/29-30

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE. No. FEB 10 1930

To

R.RANGA RAO, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Through:- The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L. 2083-90/V.P.37--29-2 dated the 16th September 1929, in re: article in the Madras Mail of the 29th August 1929, under the heading "Panchayets and Planters" about the operation of provisions of section 33 of the Mysore Village Panchayet Regulation, I have the honour to state that it is reported that there was no instance in any of the Taluks of the District in which section 33 was used and objection taken or annoyance caused.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Abdul Wajid

Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

Hss.